Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 BANGKO 04297 141606Z

63

ACTION L-02

INFO OCT-01 EA-10 ISO-00 JUSE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 /016 W

----- 053166

R 141052Z MAR 75

FM AMEMBASSY BANGKOK

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1634

INFO 388CSG KORAT RTAFB THAI/JA

UNCLAS BANGKOK 4297

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: MILI, TH

SUBJECT: CIVIL LITIGATIONS: S. LENG VS KORAT AB NCO OPEN MESS,

ET AL

STATE FOR OFFICE OF LEGAL ADVISER

STATE PEASE PASS JUSTICE, ATTN: CIVIL DIVISION

REF: A) BANGKOK 2435; B) BANGKOK 2757

1. FOLLOWING MESSAGE WAS RECEIVED FROM 388 CSG KORAT RTAFB THAILAND, DTG 1207502 MAR 75:

" PLAINTIFF LENG AND ATTORNEY MET ON 11 MARCH 1975 WITH ATTORNEYS FROM THIS OFFICE AT OUR REQUEST TO DISCUSS SETTLEMENT POSSIBILITITIES.

PRELIMINARILY, WE STATED OUR POSITION: THAT USAF TERMINATED THE CONTRACT FOR CAUSE AND IS THEREFORE UNDER NO LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES: USG WAS WILLING TO NEGOTIATE A SETTLEMENT, IF POSSIBLE, IN ORDER TO DISPENSE WITH THE TROUBLE OF A LAW-SUIT. WE FURTHER MADE CLEAR THAT WE COULD NOT BIND USG AS TO SETTLEMENT FIGURE, BUT COULD ONOY RECOMMEND AS AMOUNT TO CSAF:JACI.

THE ELEMENTS OF DAMAGES SET FORTH IN THE COMPLAINT TOTAL ONE MILLION EIGHT HUNDRED SEVENTY-EIGHT THOUSAND UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 BANGKO 04297 141606Z

SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY BAHT (BAHT 1,878,750,00). THIS REPRESENTS

MONTHLY DAMAGES FOR THE SEVEN MONTHS THAT THE CONTRACT HAD LEFT TO RUN. PLUS DAMAGES TO REPUTATION AND GOOD WILL.

IT APPEARS THAT LENG COULD HAVE TERMINATED HIS SUB-CONTRACTS WITHIN THIRY DAYS OF HIS OWN NOTICE OF TERMINATION. THEREFORE, WE OFFERED TO PAY THE FOLLOWING ITEMS:

A) SUBCONTRACT PENALTY OF FIVE THOUSAND BAHT (BAHT 5,000)
PER DAY, FOR THIRTY DAYS. ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND BAHT (BAHT 150,000) B) WAGES OF DRIVERS FOR ONE MONTH, FIFTEEN
HUNDRED BAHT (BAHT 1,500) C) WAGES OF MANAGER FOR ONE
MONTH, TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED BAH (BAHT 2,500) D) WAGES
OF CLERK FOR ONE MONTH, SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY BAHT (BAHT 750);
3) WAGES OF THREE DELIVERY PERSONS FOR ONE MONTH, FIFTEEN
HUNDRED BAHT (BAHT 1,500); F) LOSS OF PROFITS FOR ONE MONTH,
FORTY THOUSAND BAHT (BAHT 40,000) G) LOSS OF GOOD WILL AND
REPUTATION, A TOKEN OFFER OF TEN THOUSAND BAHT (BAHT 10,000)
TOTAL OFFER OF TWO HUNDRED SIX THOUSAND, TWO HUNDRED FIFTY
BAHT (BAH 206,250)

PLAINTIFF ACCEPTED THE ONE MONTH LIMITATION ON EMPLOYEES WAGES AS BEING REQUIRED BY THAI LAW. WE REMAIN FAR APART ON OTHER TERMS, HOWEVER. LENG DEAMDNDED THE FOLLOWING AMOUNTS: A) SUBCONTRACT PENALTY FOR NINETY DAYS. FOUR HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND BAHT (BAHT 450,000); EMPLOYEES WAGES, UNDER (B) (C) (D) AND (E) ABOVE WERE AGREED TO BE SIX THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY BAHT (BAHT 6,250) F) LOSS OF PROFITS FOR SEVEN MONTHS, TWO HUNDRED EIGHTY THOUSAND BAHT (BAHT 280,000). (G) LOSS OF GOOD WILL AND REPUTATION. ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND BAHT (BAHT 1000,000); TATAL OFFER OF EIGHT HUNDRED THIRTY SIX THOUSAND BAHT (BAHT 836,000)

WE EXPLAINED TO LENG THAT HE SHOULD HAVE TERMINATED HIS SUBCONTRACT AS SOON AS HIS OWN WAS TERMINATED, AND THAT USG WOULD NOT PAY FOR MORETHAN THIRTY DAYS WE FURTHER STATED THIS ITEM OF DANGES WAS NOT FORSEEABLE EVEN IF THE USG HAD BREACHED THE CONTRACT, AND THERE WAS, THEREFORE, NO LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBCONTRACT DAMAGES. FURTHER, WE STATED USG'S POSITION THAT CONTRACT WAS RIGHFULLY TERMINATED AND HIS CLAIM TO LOSS OF GOOD WILL AND REPUUNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 BANGKO 04297 141606Z

TATION WAS ENTIRELY UNFOUNDED. WE EXPLAINED HE COULD NOT PROVE LOSS OF GOOD WILL TO EXTENT OF ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND BAHT (BAHT 100,000) USG WOULD NOT OFFER MORE THAN TEN THOUSAND BAHT (BAHT 10,000) AS EVIDENCE OF ITS SINCERE DESIRE TO SETTLE THE CASE OUT OF COURT. WHEN TOLD THAT EVEN IF HE WERE TO PREVAIL INCOURT THERE WAS NO WAY A THAI COURT COULD ATTACH FUNDS OF THE USG, HE STATED THAT IF THE USG FAILED TO HONOR THE THAI COURT AND THE LAWS OF

THAILAND THAT WAS OUR BUSINESS.

FINALLY, WE OFFERED TO INCREASE THE OFFER OF PAYMENT FOR LOSS OF PROFITS FROM ONE MONTH TO TWO MONTHS, OR EIGHTY THOUSAND BAHT (BAHT 80,000) THIS WOULD INCREASE USG OFFICER TO TWO HUNDRED FORTY SIX THOUSAND, TWO HUNDRED FIFTY BAHT (BAHT 246,250) WHICH WE OFFERED TO ROUND TO TWO HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND (BAHT 250,000) PLAINTIFF REJECTED THIS AS BEING COMPLETELY TOO LOW.

PLAINTIFF EXPRESED AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE HAZARDS OF LITIGATION WITH FOEIGN SOVEREIGH. BUT STATED THAT HE WANTED A "FAIR" SETTLEMENT AND WOULD TAKE THIS CHANCES IN COURT. PLAINTIFF AND HIS ATTORNEY DEPARTED THE BASE WITH ALL PARTIES AGREEING TO GIVE FURTHER THOUGHT TO THE MATTER, AND WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS OFFICE WOULD TALK TO MR LENG AT ANY TIME HE REQUESTS.

OPINION OF THIS OFFICE IS THAT PLAINTIFF IS PLEASED THAT SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS HAVE BEGUN: PLAINTIFF EX-PECTS TO WAIT FOR USG OFFER TO BE INCREASED PRIOR TO COURT DATE. PLAINTIFF'S RANGE OF ACCEPTABLE OFFERS HAS STEADILY CECREASED AND WILL CONTINUE TO DO SO. THIS OFFICE EMPHASIZED FINALITY OF TWO HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND BAHT (BAHT 250,000) FIGURE, AND WE BELIEVE PLAINTIFF WILL ACCEPT FIRM OFFER ON COURT-HOUSE STEPS. ESPECIALLY SINCE THE JUDGE HAS EMPHASIZED THE NECESSITY OF SETTLEMENT. LENG'S ATTORNEY MENTIONED AS AN ASIDE TO OUR THAI ATTORNEY THAT HE BELIEVES THEIR CHANCES OF PREVAILING IN COURT ARE SLIM. WE BELIEVE THE ATTORNEY IS RECOMMENDING SETTLEMENT. REOUEST AUTHORIZATION TO MAKE WRITTEN OFFER OF TWO HUDRED FIFTY THOUSAND BAHT (BAHT 250,000) ON BASIS OUTLINED ABOVE PRIOR TO COURT APPEARANCE ON 11 APRIL 1975. UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 BANGKO 04297 141606Z

2. RECOMMEND GRANT OF AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED. $\label{eq:kintner}$ KINTNER

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Concepts: LITIGATION, MILITARY BASES

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 14 MAR 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: n/a Disposition Approved on Date: Disposition Authority: n/a Disposition Case Number: n/a

Disposition Camment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a Disposition Reason: Disposition Remarks:

Document Number: 1975BANGKO04297 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A Film Number: D750090-0871 From: BANGKOK

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750352/aaaabtyp.tel Line Count: 158 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION L Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 75 BANGKOK 2435 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: woolflhd

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 12 JUN 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <12 JUN 2003 by BalzMJ>; APPROVED <08 JAN 2004 by woolflhd>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: CIVIL LITIGATIONS: S. LENG VS KORAT AB NCO OPEN MESS, ET AL TAGS: MILI, TH, US, (LENG, S)
To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006